arXiv:1410.7320v3 [math.AG] 18 Apr 2016

HYPERSURFACES ACHIEVING THE HOMMA-KIM BOUND

ANDREA LUIGI TIRONI

Abstract. Let X^n be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ with $n \geq 2$ defined over a finite field. The main result of this note is the classification, up to projective equivalence, of hypersurfaces X^n as above without a linear component when the number of their rational points achieves the Homma-Kim bound.

1. Introduction

In a series of papers [5, 6, 7], Homma and Kim settled the Sziklai conjecture [12] for plane curves. In particular, as a consequence of their results one can deduce that for any plane curve C of degree d over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of q elements without $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear components, the number $N_q(C)$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$-points of C is bounded by $N_q(C) \leq (d-1)q+2$ and equality holds if and only if $d = q = 4$ and C is projectively equivalent to the plane curve

$$X_0^4+X_1^4+X_2^4+X_0^2X_1^2+X_1^2X_2^2+X_0^2X_2^2+X_0^2X_1X_2+X_0X_1^2X_2+X_0X_1X_2^2=0.$$

In [8] the authors establish also an upper bound for the number $N_q(X^n)$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$-points of a hypersurface $X^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ of degree d and dimension $n \geq 2$ which is an analogous to their bound for a plane curve. Moreover, they show that their bound

$$\Theta_n^{d,q} := (d-1)q^n + dq^{n-1} + q^{n-2} + \cdots + q + 1$$

is the best one for hypersurfaces without $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear components that is linear on their degrees, because, for each finite field, they give three nonsingular surfaces of different degrees that reach their bound.

Date: April 19, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 14J70, 11G25; Secondary: 05B25. Key words and phrases: hypersurfaces, finite fields, number of rational points.

During the preparation of this paper, the author was partially supported by Proyecto VRID N. 214.013.039-1.OIN and the Project Anillo ACT 1415 PIA CONICYT.

In line with the above results for plane curves we characterize, up to projective equivalence, all the hypersurfaces $X^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ without $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear components which reach the Homma-Kim bound $\Theta_n^{d,q}$ by proving the following

Theorem 1. *Let $X^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a hypersurface of degree $d \geq 2$ and dimension $n \geq 2$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and without $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear components. Then $N_q(X^n) \leq \Theta_n^{d,q}$ and equality holds if and only if $d \leq q+1$ and one of the following possibilities occurs:*

(1) *$d = q+1$ and X^n is a space filling hypersurface*

$$(X_0, ..., X_{n+1})\ A\ {}^t(X_0^q, ..., X_{n+1}^q) = 0,$$

where $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,...,n+2}$ is an $(n+2) \times (n+2)$ matrix such that ${}^tA = -A$ and $a_{kk} = 0$ for every $k = 1, ..., n+2$; moreover, X^n is nonsingular if and only if $\det A \neq 0$;

(2) *$d = \sqrt{q}+1$ and X^n is projectively equivalent to a cone over the nonsingular Hermitian surface*

$$X_0^{\sqrt{q}+1} + X_1^{\sqrt{q}+1} + X_2^{\sqrt{q}+1} + X_3^{\sqrt{q}+1} = 0;$$

(3) *$d = 2$ and X^n is projectively equivalent to a quadric hypersurface*

$$X_0(a_0X_0 + ... + a_{n+1}X_{n+1}) + X_1(b_0X_0 + ... + b_{n+1}X_{n+1}) = 0,$$

with $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$, which is nonsingular if and only if $n = 2$ and

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & a_3 \\ b_2 & b_3 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Finally, as a consequence of this result, in the nonsingular case we deduce the following

Corollary 2. *Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a nonsingular hypersurface of degree $d \geq 2$ and dimension $n \geq 2$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then $N_q(V) \leq \Theta_n^{d,q}$ and equality holds if and only if one of the following cases occurs:*

(a') *n is even and V is a space filling hypersurface*

$$(X_0, ..., X_{n+1})\ A\ {}^t(X_0^q, ..., X_{n+1}^q) = 0,$$

where A is an $(n+2) \times (n+2)$ matrix such that ${}^tA = -A$, $\det A \neq 0$ and $a_{kk} = 0$ for every $k = 1, ..., n+2$;

(b') *$n = 2$ and V is projective equivalent to the Hermitian surface*

$$X_0^{\sqrt{q}+1} + X_1^{\sqrt{q}+1} + X_2^{\sqrt{q}+1} + X_3^{\sqrt{q}+1} = 0;$$

(c') $n = 2$ *and* V *is projective equivalent to the hyperbolic quadric surface*

$$X_0X_1 - X_2X_3 = 0.$$

Note. After this paper was written, the author discovered that in [10] Homma and Kim characterize all the surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^3$ without $\mathbb{F}_q$-lineal components which reach their bound $\Theta_2^{d,q}$ by proving Theorem 1 for $n = 2$. Although the approach in [10] seems only slightly different to that of this note, for completeness we preferred do not omit the proof of this case.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Antonio Laface for his kind and constant encouragement and for many interesting discussions about algebraic geometry and the referee for useful remarks which allow him to improve the presentation of this paper.

2. Notation and preliminary results

Let $X^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a hypersurface of degree $d \geq 2$ and dimension $n \geq 2$ defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of q elements, with $q = p^s$ for some prime number p and an integer $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Denote by $N_q(W)$ the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-points of a set $W \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, by $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$ the set of $\mathbb{F}_q$-points of W and by $W^\star$ the set of all hyperplanes $\mathbb{P}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ containing the linear span $\langle W \rangle$ of W. Recall that for any $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ we have

$$N_q(\mathbb{P}^N) = q^N + q^{N-1} + \cdots + q + 1.$$

In this section, we give some remarks and preliminary results which will be useful to prove Theorem 1.

Remark 3. *By definition,* $\Theta_n^{d,q} \leq N_q(\mathbb{P}^{n+1})$ *if and only if* $d \leq q + 1$.

Proposition 4. *Let* $X^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ *be a hypersurface of degree* $d \geq 2$ *and dimension* $n \geq 2$ *defined over* $\mathbb{F}_q$. *If* $N_q(X^n) = \Theta_n^{d,q}$, *then* $d \leq q + 1$ *and equality holds if and only if* $N_q(X^n) = N_q(\mathbb{P}^{n+1})$, *i.e.* X^n *is a space filling hypersurface of* $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$.

Proof. Since $X^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ and $N_q(X^n) = \Theta_n^{d,q}$, we see that

$$\Theta_n^{d,q} = N_q(X^n) \leq N_q(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}).$$

Thus by Remark 3 we obtain that $d \leq q+1$. Moreover, we get $d = q+1$ if and only if $N_q(X^n) = N_q(\mathbb{P}^{n+1})$, which is equivalent to say that X^n is a space filling hypersurface of $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. □

Remark 5. *From the proof of Case* (2) *of* Theorem 1.2 *in* [8, §3.1] *it follows that if* $d \leq q$ *and* X^n *does not contain a linear* $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ *then*

$N_q(X^n) < \Theta_n^{d,q}$. Therefore, if $N_q(X^n) = \Theta_n^{d,q}$ and $d \leq q$ then there exists at least a linear space $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ in X^n.

Theorem 6 ([11])**.** *Let $X^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a hypersurface of degree d defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then $N_q(X^n) \leq dq^n + q^{n-1} + ... + q + 1$. Furthermore, if $d \leq q$ then equality holds if and only if X^n is a union of d hyperplanes over $\mathbb{F}_q$ that contain a common linear subspace of codimension 2 in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$.*

Proposition 7. *Let $X^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a hypersurface of degree $d \geq 2$ and dimension $n \geq 2$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. If $N_q(X^n) = \Theta_n^{d,q}$ and $d \leq q$, then X^n is covered by linear spaces of dimension $n-1$.*

Proof. By Remark 5 we know that there exists a linear $L := \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ in X^n. Since $N_q(L \cap X^n) = N_q(L) := d_2$, we have

$$N_q(X^n) = \left[\sum_{H_i \in L^\star} (N_q(H_i \cap X^n) - d_2) \right] + d_2 =$$

$$= \left[\sum_{H_i \in L^\star} N_q(H_i \cap X^n) \right] - d_2 q =$$

$$= \left[\sum_{H_i \in L^\star} N_q(H_i \cap X^n) \right] - (q^{n-1} + q^{n-2} + \cdots + q + 1)q,$$

where $L^\star$ denotes the set of hyperplanes of $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ containing L. Then we get

$$\left[\sum_{H_i \in L^\star} N_q(H_i \cap X^n) \right] = (q+1)(dq^{n-1} + q^{n-2} + \cdots + q + 1)$$

and by Theorem 6 we deduce that $X^n \cap H_i = \cup_{k=1}^{d} \mathbb{P}_{i,k}^{n-1}$ for every $H_i \in L^\star$. Thus we conclude that

$$X^n = X^n \cap \mathbb{P}^{n+1} = X^n \cap (\cup_{H_i \in L^\star} H_i) = \cup_{H_i \in L^\star} (X^n \cap H_i) = \cup_{i,k} \mathbb{P}_{i,k}^{n-1},$$

i.e. X^n is covered by linear $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$'s. □

Remark 8. *From the proof of* Proposition 7 *it follows that if X^n contain a linear $L = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ then for any $H \in L^\star$ we have $X^n \cap H = \cup_{i=1}^{d} L_i$, where the L_i's are linear $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ such that, after renaming, $L_1 = L$ and all the L_i's meet in a common subspace $\mathbb{P}^{n-2}$.*

Proposition 9. *Let $X^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a hypersurface of degree $d \geq 2$ and dimension $n \geq 3$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. If $N_q(X^n) = \Theta_n^{d,q}$ and $d \leq q$ then X^n is singular, that is,* $\mathrm{Sing}(X^n) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. By Remark 5 we know that there exists a linear $L := \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ in X^n. Furthermore, from Remark 8 we deduce that $X^n \cap H_i = \cup_{k=1}^{d} \mathbb{P}_{i,k}^{n-1}$ for every $H_i \in L^\star$. Thus consider two distinct hyperplanes $H_1, H_2 \in L^\star$ such that

$$X^n \cap H_1 = \cup_{k=2}^{d} \mathbb{P}_{1,k}^{n-1} \cup L, \quad X^n \cap H_2 = \cup_{h=2}^{d} \mathbb{P}_{2,h}^{n-1} \cup L.$$

Since $d \geq 2$, up to renaming, we see that there are two distinct linear spaces $\mathbb{P}_{1,2}^{n-1} \subset X^n \cap H_1$ and $\mathbb{P}_{2,2}^{n-1} \subset X^n \cap H_2$ such that $\Lambda_i := L \cap \mathbb{P}_{i,2}^{n-1}$ is a linear space $\mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ in L for $i = 1, 2$. Therefore we have

$$n - 1 = \dim L \geq \dim(\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2) = \dim \Lambda_1 + \dim \Lambda_2 - \dim(\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2),$$

i.e. $\dim(\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2) \geq n - 3 \geq 0$. This shows the existence of at least a point $p \in \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 \subset H_1 \cap H_2$ such that $p \in L \subset X^n$ and the tangent space $T_p X^n$ contains H_1 and H_2, i.e. $H_1 \cup H_2 \subset T_p X^n$. This implies $T_p X^n = \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, i.e. $p \in X^n$ is a singular point of X^n. □

Remark 10. *Let $Y^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a hypersurface of dimension n over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Suppose that Y^n is a cone $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{P}^k}(Y^{n-k-1})$ over a hypersurface $Y^{n-k-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-k}$ of dimension $n-k-1 \geq 2$ with vertex $\mathbb{P}^k$ such that $0 \leq k \leq n-3$ and $\mathbb{P}^k \cap \mathbb{P}^{n-k} = \emptyset$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. Then we have the following properties (see also* [8, (3.1),(3.2)]) :

(a) $d := \deg Y^n = \deg Y^{n-k-1}$;
(b) $N_q(Y^n) = q^{k+1} N_q(Y^{n-k-1}) + N_q(\mathbb{P}^k)$;
(c) $N_q(Y^n) = \Theta_n^{d,q}$ *if and only if* $N_q(Y^{n-k-1}) = \Theta_{n-k-1}^{d,q}$.

Proposition 11. *Let $X^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a hypersurface of degree $d \geq 2$ and dimension $n \geq 2$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. If $N_q(X^n) = \Theta_n^{d,q}$ and $d \leq q$, then X^n is a reduced hypersurface and the set of singular points $\mathrm{Sing}(X^n)$ of X^n is a proper closed subset of X^n, that is, $\overline{\mathrm{Sing}(X^n)} = \mathrm{Sing}(X^n) \subsetneq X^n$.*

Proof. Suppose that X^n contains a nonreduced component. Then $d' := \deg X_{red}^n < \deg X^n = d$ and $N_q(X^n) = N_q(X_{red}^n)$, where X_{red}^n is the reduced hypersurface obtained from X^n. By Theorem 6 we have

$$\Theta_n^{d,q} = N_q(X^n) = N_q(X_{red}^n) \leq d' q^n + q^{n-1} + \ldots + 1 \leq (d-1) q^n + q^{n-1} + \ldots + 1,$$

but this gives $d \leq 1$, a contradiction. Thus X^n is a reduced hypersurface and the statement follows from [4, Theorem 5.3]. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Let us recall that from Proposition 4 it follows that $d \leq q + 1$, with equality if and only if X^n is a space filling hypersurface with $N_q(X^n) = N_q(\mathbb{P}^{n+1})$. Moreover, if $d = 2$ then X^n is a quadric hypersurface of $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$.

Therefore, without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$3 \leq d \leq q.$$

Furthermore, by Proposition 11, let $p \in X^n$ be a nonsingular point.

First of all, assume that $n = 2$, i.e. $X^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is a surface. By [9, (3.8)] there exists a plane $H = \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $H \cap X^2 = l_1 \cup \cdots \cup l_d$ with $\cap_{i=1}^{d} l_i = \{p\}$, where the l_j's are d lines $\mathbb{P}^1$ in X^2. Take a line $L \subset H$ such that $L \cap X^2 = \{p\}$ and consider a pencil of planes $H_i \in L^\star$ for $i = 1, ..., q+1$ with $H_{q+1} = H$. Put $\Gamma_i := H_i \cap X^2 \subset H_i = \mathbb{P}^2$ for $i = 1, ..., q+1$ and note that $\Gamma_{q+1} = l_1 \cup \cdots \cup l_d$. Furthermore, observe that

$$\Theta_2^{d,q} = N_q(X^2) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{q} \left(N_q(\Gamma_i) - 1\right)\right] + N_q(\Gamma_{q+1}) =$$

$$= \left[\sum_{i=1}^{q} N_q(\Gamma_i)\right] - q + dq + 1,$$

i.e.

$$(*) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{q} N_q(\Gamma_i) = [(d-1)q + 1]\, q.$$

Claim 1. $N_q(\Gamma_i) = (d-1)q + 1$ for every $i = 1, ..., q$.
Note that $T_p X^2 = H$. Moreover, since p is a nonsingular point of X^2, we see that all the Γ_i's are plane curves without linear components. Thus if either $q \neq 4$ or $d \neq 4$, then $N_q(\Gamma_i) \leq (d-1)q + 1$ for any $i = 1, ..., q$. On the other hand, if $q = 4 = d$ then $N_q(\Gamma_i) \leq (d-1)q + 2 = 14$. Suppose, after renaming, that $N_q(\Gamma_1) = 14$ and take a bitangent line $l' \subset H_1$ to Γ_1. Since $d \geq 3$, by [9, (3.6)] we deduce that $\hat{H}_i \cap X^n$ has not linear components for any $\hat{H}_i \in (l')^\star$. Thus we get

$$65 = N_q(X^2) = N_q(\Gamma_1) + \sum_{H_1 \neq \hat{H}_i \in (l')^\star} \left[N_q(\hat{H}_i \cap X^2) - 2\right] \leq$$

$$\leq 14 + 4(14 - 2) = 62,$$

but this is a contradiction. Thus $N_q(\Gamma_i) \leq (d-1)q + 1$ for any $i = 1, ..., q$ and the statement follows from (*). Q.E.D.

From [6, §2] we know that any Γ_i is absolutely irreducible and

$$N_q(\mathrm{Sing}(\Gamma_i) \cap \Gamma_i) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, ..., q.$$

Claim 2. $N_q(l \cap \Gamma_i) \in \{0, 1, d\}$ for any line $l \subset H_i = \mathbb{P}^2$ with $i = 1, ..., q$.

Assume that there exists a line $l' \subset H_i = \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $2 \leq N_q(l' \cap \Gamma_i) \leq d-1$ for some $i \in \{1, ..., q\}$. Consider a pencil $L_j \in (l')^\star$ of planes $L_j = \mathbb{P}^2$ which contain the line l'. Then by [9, (3.6)] there is not a plane $L_j \in (l')^\star$ such that $L_j \cap X^2$ contains a line. Thus by [7] we conclude that

$$\Theta_2^{d,q} = N_q(X^2) = N_q(\Gamma_i) + \sum_{H_i \neq L_j \in l'^\star} \left[N_q(L_j \cap X^2) - N_q(l' \cap \Gamma_i) \right] \leq$$

$$\leq (d-1)q + 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \left[(d-1)q + 2 - N_q(l' \cap \Gamma_i) \right] \leq (d-1)q^2 + dq,$$

but this is a contradiction. Q.E.D.

Therefore, by counting the number δ_i of distinct lines $l \subset H_i = \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $N_q(l \cap \Gamma_i) > 0$ we have

$$\frac{\binom{N_q(\Gamma_i)}{2}}{\binom{d}{2}} + N_q(\Gamma_i) = \delta_i \leq N_q(\mathbb{P}^{2^*}) = N_q(\mathbb{P}^2) = q^2 + q + 1,$$

where $\mathbb{P}^{2^*}$ is the dual of $\mathbb{P}^2$. This gives $\frac{(d-1)q+1}{d} + d - 1 \leq q + 1$, that is, $d^2 - 2d - (q-1) \leq 0$. Hence $3 \leq d \leq \sqrt{q} + 1$.

If $d = \sqrt{q} + 1$ then from [9] we know that X^2 is a nonsingular Hermitian surface. Finally, suppose that $3 \leq d < \sqrt{q} + 1$. By [1] we see that

$$N_q(C) \leq q + 1 + 2p_C\sqrt{q} < (d-1)q + 1$$

for any absolutely irreducible plane curve C, where $p_C = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}$ is the arithmetic genus of C. Therefore, we obtain that

$$\left[(d-1)q + 1 \right] q = \sum_{i=1}^{q} N_q(\Gamma_i) \leq [(d-1)q]q,$$

which gives again a numerical contradiction.

All the above arguments show that if $n = 2$ then $d \in \{2, \sqrt{q}+1, q+1\}$.

Assume now that $n \geq 3$. Since $d \leq q$, from Proposition 7 we know that X^n is covered by linear $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$'s and by Proposition 11 we can consider a linear space $L = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ which contains a nonsingular point $p \in X^n$.

Let H_0 be a linear $\mathbb{P}^n$ such that $L \subset H_0$. By Remark 8 we get $X^n \cap H_0 = \cup_{i=1}^d L_i$ with $L_i = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, $L = L_1$ and $\cap_{i=1}^d L_i := \Lambda = \mathbb{P}^{n-2} \subset L$. Then we have two possibilities: (i) $p \in \Lambda$; (ii) $p \notin \Lambda$.

In case (i), since $n - 2 \geq 1$, we see that there exists a linear space $L' = \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \subseteq H_0$ such that $p \in L'$, $\dim(L' \cap L_i) = n-2$ for $i = 1, ..., d$ and $\dim(L' \cap \Lambda) = n - 3 \geq 0$. Note that L' is not contained in X^n.

Consider now a hyperplane $H_i \neq H_0$ which contains L'. If there exists a linear $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{i_1} \subseteq X^n \cap H_i$, then there exist d linear spaces $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{i_k}$ such that $X^n \cap H_i = \cup_{k=1}^d \mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{i_k}$. Since

$$L' \cap X^n = L' \cap (H_0 \cap X^n) = L' \cap (\cup_{i=1}^d L_i) = \cup_{i=1}^d (L' \cap L_i)$$

and $p \in L' \cap \Lambda = \cap_{i=1}^d (L' \cap L_i)$, we deduce that $p \in \cap_{k=1}^d \mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{i_k}$. Thus the tangent space $T_p X^n$ contains L_1 , L_2 and $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{i_j}$ for some $j = 1, ..., d$ such that $\mathbb{P}^{n+1} = \langle L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{i_j} \rangle$. This implies that $\mathbb{P}^{n+1} \subseteq T_p X^n$, i.e. $p \in X^n$ is a singular point of X^n, but this is a contradiction. So $X^n \cap H_i$ has no $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear components for any hyperplane $H_i \neq H_0$ which contains L'.

Assume now we are in case (ii). Take $L' = \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \subseteq H_0$ such that $p \in L'$ and L' is as in case (i). Consider now a hyperplane $H_i \neq H_0$ which contains L'. If there exists a linear $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{i_1} \subseteq X^n \cap H_i$, then there exist d linear spaces $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{i_k}$ such that $X^n \cap H_i = \cup_{k=1}^d \mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{i_k}$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{i_j}$ for some $j = 1, ..., d$. Since $L_1 \cap L' = \mathbb{P}^{n-2} = L' \cap \mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{i_j}$ and $L_1 \neq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{i_j}$, we see that

$$n - 2 \geq \dim(L_1 \cap \mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{i_j}) \geq \dim(L_1 \cap \mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{i_j} \cap L') = n - 2,$$

i.e. $\dim(L_1 \cap \mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{i_j}) = n - 2$. Therefore, by taking $H_0 := \langle L_1 \cup \mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{i_j} \rangle$, we can repeat all the above arguments and this allows us to get to case (i) again. Thus it follows that $X^n \cap H_i$ has no $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear components for any hyperplane $H_i \neq H_0$ which contains L'.

In anyway, the above arguments show that in both cases we can suppose that there exists a linear space $L' = \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \subset H_0$ not contained in X^n such that $p \in L'$, $X^n \cap H_0$ is the union of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$'s meeting in a common linear subspace $\mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ and $X^n \cap H_i$ has no $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear components for any hyperplane $H_i \neq H_0$ which contains L'. This gives

$$\Theta^{d,q}_n = N_q(X^n) = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \left[N_q(X^n \cap H_i) - N_q(\cup_{k=1}^d L' \cap L_k) \right] + N_q(X^n \cap H_0) =$$

$$= \left[\sum_{i=1}^{q} N_q(X^n \cap H_i) \right] - q(dq^{n-2} + q^{n-3} + ... + 1) + (dq^{n-1} + q^{n-2} + ... + 1),$$

i.e.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} \left[\Theta^{d,q}_{n-1} - N_q(X^n \cap H_i) \right] = 0.$$

Since $N_q(X^n \cap H_i) \leq \Theta^{d,q}_{n-1}$ for every $i = 1, ..., q$, we get $N_q(X^n \cap H_i) = \Theta^{d,q}_{n-1}$. By an inductive argument, we conclude that $d \in \{2, \sqrt{q}+1, q+1\}$ for $n \geq 2$.

Finally, the next three results allow us to conclude the proof of Theorem 1.

Proposition 12 ($d = 2$)**.** *Let $X^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a hypersurface of degree two without $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear components and such that $N_q(X^n) = \Theta_n^{2,q}$. If $n \geq 2$ then X^n is projectively equivalent to a quadric hypersurface*

$$X_0(a_0X_0 + ... + a_{n+1}X_{n+1}) + X_1(b_0X_0 + ... + b_{n+1}X_{n+1}) = 0,$$

with $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$, which is nonsingular if and only if $n = 2$ and

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & a_3 \\ b_2 & b_3 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Proof. From Remark 5 it follows that there exists a linear subspace $L := \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \subset X^n$. Thus, after a change of coordinates, we can suppose that $L = \{X_0 = X_1 = 0\}$ and

$$X^n \ : \quad X_0L_0 + X_1L_1 = 0,$$

where $L_i := L_i(X_0, ..., X_{n+1})$ are homogeneous polynomial of degree one. Write $L_i := \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \alpha_j^i X_j$ for some $\alpha_j^i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $F := X_0L_0 + X_1L_1$. Note that $X^n = \{F = 0\}$ and

$$\frac{\partial \vec{F}}{\partial X} := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_0} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_1} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_{n+1}} \end{pmatrix} = A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} X_0 \\ X_1 \\ X_2 \\ \vdots \\ X_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} =: A \cdot {}^t\vec{x},$$

where A is the following $(n+2) \times (n+2)$ matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha_0^0 & \alpha_1^0 + \alpha_0^1 & \alpha_2^0 & \dots & \alpha_{n+1}^0 \\ \alpha_0^1 + \alpha_1^0 & 2\alpha_1^1 & \alpha_2^1 & \dots & \alpha_{n+1}^1 \\ \alpha_2^0 & \alpha_2^1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \alpha_3^0 & \alpha_3^1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n+1}^0 & \alpha_{n+1}^1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Claim 3. X^n is singular $\iff$ $\det A = 0$.

Assume that $\det A = 0$. Then there exists $\vec{y} \neq \vec{0}$ such that $A \cdot {}^t\vec{y} = \vec{0}$. Write $\vec{y} := (y_0, y_1, y_2, ..., y_{n+1})$. If $(y_0, y_1) \neq (0, 0)$, then $0 = \det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2^0 & \alpha_2^1 \\ \alpha_3^0 & \alpha_3^1 \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2^0 & \alpha_3^0 \\ \alpha_2^1 & \alpha_3^1 \end{pmatrix}$. This shows that there exists $(z, w) \neq (0, 0)$ such that $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2^0 & \alpha_3^0 \\ \alpha_2^1 & \alpha_3^1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} z \\ w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus

the vector $\vec{v} := (0, 0, z, w, 0, ..., 0)$ is a nontrivial solution of $F = 0$ and $\frac{\partial \vec{F}}{\partial X}(\vec{v}) = A \cdot {}^t\vec{v} = \vec{0}$, i.e. X^n is singular. On the other hand, if $(y_0, y_1) = (0, 0)$ then $\vec{y} \neq \vec{0}$ is a solution of $F = 0$ and $\frac{\partial \vec{F}}{\partial X}(\vec{y}) = A \cdot {}^t\vec{y} = \vec{0}$, i.e. X^n is singular again.

Suppose now that X^n is singular. Then there exists $\vec{x} \neq \vec{0}$ such that $A \cdot {}^t\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ and this implies that necessarily $\det A = 0$. Q.E.D.

If $n \geq 3$, i.e. $n + 1 \geq 4$, then the last three rows of A are linearly dependent, i.e. $\det A = 0$, and from *Claim 3* we deduce that X^n is singular. On the other hand, if $n = 2$ then X^2 is given by

$$X_0(\alpha_0^0 X_0 + ... + \alpha_3^0 X_3) + X_1(\alpha_0^1 X_0 + ... + \alpha_3^1 X_3) = 0.$$

Hence by *Claim 3* we see that

$$X^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \text{ is singular } \iff \det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2^0 & \alpha_3^0 \\ \alpha_2^1 & \alpha_3^1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

and this proves the last part of the statement. □

Proposition 13 ($d = \sqrt{q} + 1$)**.** *Let $X^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a hypersurface of degree $\sqrt{q} + 1$ without $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear components and such that $N_q(X^n) = \Theta_n^{\sqrt{q}+1,q}$. If $n \geq 2$ then X^n is projectively equivalent to a cone over the nonsingular Hermitian surface*

$$X_0^{\sqrt{q}+1} + X_1^{\sqrt{q}+1} + X_2^{\sqrt{q}+1} + X_3^{\sqrt{q}+1} = 0.$$

Proof. If $n = 2$ then from [9] we know that X^2 is a nonsingular Hermitian surface S in $\mathbb{P}^3$. Assume now that $n \geq 3$.

By induction, suppose that any hypersurface $X^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of degree $\sqrt{q} + 1$ without $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear components and such that $N_q(X^{n-1}) = \Theta_{n-1}^{\sqrt{q}+1,q}$ is

$(*)$ a cone over a nonsingular Hermitian surface S and projectively equivalent to $\{f = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, where

$$f := (X_0, ..., X_n)\ A\ {}^t(X_0^{\sqrt{q}}, ..., X_n^{\sqrt{q}})$$

and A is an $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ matrix such that ${}^tA = A^{(\sqrt{q})}$.

From the proof of Theorem 1 in §3, we know that there exist a linear $L_{11} = \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \subset X^n$, a hyperplane $H_1 = \mathbb{P}^n$ such that $L_{11} \subset H_1$, $X^n \cap H_1 = \cup_{j=1}^{\sqrt{q}+1} L_{1j}$ with $L_{1j} = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, $\Lambda_1 := \cap_{j=1}^{\sqrt{q}+1} L_{1j} = \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ and a linear $L' = \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \subset H_1$ such that $L' \nsubseteq X^n$, $X^n \cap H' := X^{n-1}$ has no linear $\mathbb{F}_q$-components for any hyperplane $H' \neq H_1$ which contains L'

and $N_q(X^{n-1}) = \Theta_{n-1}^{\sqrt{q}+1,q}$, i.e. $X^{n-1} \subseteq H' = \mathbb{P}^n$ is as in $(*)$ for any hyperplane H' as above.

For $i \neq 1$, consider now a hyperplane H_i such that $L_{11} \subset H_i$. Hence by Remark 8 we get $X^n \cap H_i = \cup_{j=1}^{\sqrt{q}+1} L_{ij}$, where $L_{ij} = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and $L_{i1} = L_{11}$. Define $\Lambda_i := \cap_{j=1}^{\sqrt{q}+1} L_{ij}$ and note that $\Lambda_i = \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$.

If for any $H_i = \mathbb{P}^n$ as above such that $L_{11} \subset H_i$ we have $\Lambda_i = \Lambda_1$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., q+1$, then $X^n = \cup_{i=1}^{q+1} \left(\cup_{j=1}^{\sqrt{q}+1} L_{ij} \right)$ with $\cap_{i,j} L_{ij} = \cap_{i=1}^{q+1} \Lambda_i = \Lambda_1 = \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$. By taking a plane $P = \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $P \cap \Lambda_1 = \emptyset$, we see that X^n is a cone with vertex $\Lambda_1 = \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ over a curve $\Gamma \subset P$ which is the intersection set of all the L_{ij}'s with P, i.e. $\Gamma := P \cap (\cup_{i,j} L_{ij})$. Therefore, we get

$$(d-1)q^n + dq^{n-1} + N_q(\mathbb{P}^{n-2}) = N_q(X) = q^{n-1} N_q(\Gamma) + N_q(\mathbb{P}^{n-2}),$$

i.e. $N_q(\Gamma) = (d-1)q + d$, where $d = \sqrt{q} + 1$. Moreover, observe that $\Gamma \subset P = \mathbb{P}^2$ is a plane $(\sqrt{q}+1)$-arc. Thus from [2] we know that $q \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$, i.e. $q = h(\sqrt{q}+1)$ for some $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Hence we get $h = p^t$ for some $t \leq s$, where $q = p^s$, but this gives the numerical contradiction $p^{s-t} = p^{\frac{s}{2}} + 1$, since $p \geq 2$ and $s \geq 2$ even.

This shows that there exists at least a hyperplane $\mathbb{P}^n$, say H_2, such that $L_{11} \subset H_2$, $X^n \cap H_2 = \cup_{j=1}^{\sqrt{q}+1} L_{2j}$, $L_{2j} = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, $L_{21} = L_{11}$ and $\Lambda_2 \neq \Lambda_1$. Set $W := L_{11} \cap L_{12} \cap L' = \Lambda_1 \cap L' = \mathbb{P}^{n-3}$ and define $W_{ij} := V \cap L_{ij}$.

If for every i, j, we have $W_{ij} = W$, then $X^n = \cup_{i=1}^{q+1} \left(\cup_{j=1}^{\sqrt{q}+1} L_{ij} \right)$ and

$$\mathbb{P}^{n-3} = W = \cap_{i,j} (W \cap L_{ij}) \subseteq \cap_{i,j} L_{ij} \subseteq (L_{11} \cap L_{12}) \cap (L_{21} \cap L_{22}) = \mathbb{P}^{n-3},$$

i.e. $W = \cap_{i,j} L_{ij} = \mathbb{P}^{n-3}$. By taking $U = \mathbb{P}^3$ such that $W \cap U = \emptyset$, write $S' := U \cap (\cap_{i,j} L_{ij})$. This shows that X^n is a cone with vertex $W = \mathbb{P}^{n-3}$ over a surface $S' \subseteq U = \mathbb{P}^3$ without linear $\mathbb{F}_q$-components and such that $U \cap L_{ij} = \mathbb{P}^1 \subset S'$ for every i, j. Thus from Remark 10(c) it follows that $N_q(S') = \Theta_2^{\sqrt{q}+1,q} := (d-1)q^2 + dq + 1$, i.e. S' is a nonsingular Hermitian surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ by [9].

Therefore, without loss of generality, we can assume that $W \neq W_{22} = W \cap L_{22} = \mathbb{P}^{n-4}$. So, after a change of coordinates, we can write

$$L_{11} = L_{21} = V(X_1, X_2), \ L_{12} = V(X_1, X_2 - X_n),$$

$$L_{22} = V(X_2, X_1 - X_{n+1}) \ \text{ and } \ L' = V(X_0, X_1).$$

Furthermore, since $L' \subseteq V(X_0)$, observe that $X^n \cap V(X_0) = X^{n-1}$ is as in $(*)$ by the inductive argument. So $X^n := \{F = 0\}$ is defined by

the following homogeneous polynomial

$$F := X_0 f_0 + (X_1, ..., X_{n+1})\ A\ {}^t(X_1^{\sqrt{q}}, ..., X_{n+1}^{\sqrt{q}}),$$

where $f_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X_0, ..., X_{n+1}]$ and A is an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix such that ${}^tA = A^{(\sqrt{q})}$. Since $H_1 := \langle L_{11} \cup L_{12} \rangle = \{X_1 = 0\}$,

$$X^n \cap H_1 = \left(\cup_{j=1}^{\sqrt{q}+1} L_{1j} \right) \text{ and } \Lambda_1 = \{X_1 = X_2 = X_n = 0\} = \cap_{j=1}^{\sqrt{q}+1} L_{1j},$$

we deduce that

$$X^n \cap \{X_1 = 0\} = \Pi_{i=1}^{\sqrt{q}+1} \left(a_2^i X_2 + a_n^i X_n \right).$$

This shows that

$$F = X_0 X_1 g_0 + (X_1, ..., X_{n+1})\ A\ {}^t(X_1^{\sqrt{q}}, ..., X_{n+1}^{\sqrt{q}}),$$

where $g_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X_0, ..., X_{n+1}]$. Similarly, since $H_2 := \langle L_{11} \cup L_{22} \rangle = \{X_2 = 0\}$,

$$X^n \cap H_2 = \left(\cup_{j=1}^{\sqrt{q}+1} L_{2j} \right) \text{ and } \Lambda_2 = \{X_1 = X_2 = X_{n+1} = 0\} = \cap_{j=1}^{\sqrt{q}+1} L_{2j},$$

it follows that

$$X^n \cap \{X_2 = 0\} = \Pi_{i=1}^{\sqrt{q}+1} \left(a_1^i X_1 + a_{n+1}^i X_{n+1} \right).$$

This gives

$$F = X_0 X_1 X_2 h_0 + (X_1, ..., X_{n+1})\ A\ {}^t(X_1^{\sqrt{q}}, ..., X_{n+1}^{\sqrt{q}}),$$

where $h_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X_0, ..., X_{n+1}]$. Furthermore, by an inductive argument we know that $X^n \cap \{X_0 - \lambda X_1 = 0\}$ is also a Hermitian variety for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Thus the polynomial

$$\bar{F} := \lambda X_1^2 X_2 h_0(\lambda X_1, X_1, ..., X_{n+1})$$

is Hermitian for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Since $\bar{F}$ does not contain the monomial $X_1 X_2^{\sqrt{q}}$, we deduce that $\lambda X_1^2 X_2 h_0(\lambda X_1, X_1, ..., X_{n+1})$ is identically zero. In particular, $h_0(\lambda X_1, X_1, ..., X_{n+1})$ is identically zero for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Hence $(X_0 - \lambda X_1)$ divides h_0 for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Since $\deg h_0 = \sqrt{q} - 2 < q - 1$, we deduce that h_0 is the zero polynomial, i.e.

$$F = (X_1, ..., X_{n+1})\ A\ {}^t(X_1^{\sqrt{q}}, ..., X_{n+1}^{\sqrt{q}}).$$

This shows that $X^n = \{F = 0\}$ is a cone over a Hermitian variety X^{n-1} for $n \geq 3$ and by an inductive argument we can conclude that $X^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is a cone over a nonsingular Hermitian surface $X^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. $\square$

Proposition 14 ($d = q+1$)**.** *Let $X^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a hypersurface of degree $q+1$ without $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear components and such that $N_q(X^n) = \Theta_n^{q+1,q}$. If $n \geq 2$ then X^n is a space filling hypersurface*

$$(X_0, ..., X_{n+1})\ A\ {}^t(X_0^q, ..., X_{n+1}^q) = 0,$$

where $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,...,n+2}$ is an $(n+2) \times (n+2)$ matrix such that ${}^tA = -A$ and $a_{kk} = 0$ for every $k = 1, ..., n+2$. Moreover, we have the following properties:

(1) *X^n is singular if and only if $\det A = 0$;*
(2) *if n is odd, then X^n is singular.*

Proof. Let $F = F(X_0, ..., X_{n+1})$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree $q+1$ identically zero on $\mathbb{F}_q^{n+2}$. From the Polynomial Evaluation Theorem [3, Theorem 8] we know that the ideal of the algebraic set $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ on $\mathbb{F}_q$ is generated by $\left\{X_iX_j^q - X_i^qX_j \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq n+1\right\}$. Thus $F = \sum_{i,j=0}^{n+1} a_{ij}(X_iX_j^q - X_i^qX_j)$, i.e. X^n is defined by

$$(X_0, ..., X_{n+1})\ A\ {}^t(X_0^q, ..., X_{n+1}^q) = 0,$$

where $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,...,n+2}$ is an $(n+2) \times (n+2)$ matrix such that ${}^tA = -A$ and $a_{kk} = 0$ for every $k = 1, ..., n+2$. Write $F = \vec{x}\ A\ {}^t\vec{x}^{(q)}$, where $\vec{x} := (X_0, ..., X_{n+1})$ and $\vec{x}^{(q)} := (X_0^q, ..., X_{n+1}^q)$. Then X^n is defined by $\vec{x}\ A\ {}^t\vec{x}^{(q)} = 0$ and we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_0} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_{n+1}} \end{pmatrix} = A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} X_0^q \\ \vdots \\ X_{n+1}^q \end{pmatrix} =: A \cdot {}^t\vec{x}^{(q)}.$$

Thus we see that X^n is singular $\iff \det A = 0$, and this proves (1).

Assume now that n is odd. To prove (2), by (1) it is sufficient to show that $\det A = 0$. If q is odd, then $\det A = \det {}^tA = \det(-A) = (-1)^{n+2} \det A = -\det A$, i.e. $\det A = 0$. Suppose now that q is even and put $m := n+2$. Then ${}^tA = A$ and from

$$sgn(\sigma)\left(\Pi_{i=1}^m a_{i,\sigma(i)}\right) = sgn(\sigma^{-1})\left(\Pi_{i=1}^m a_{\sigma(i),i}\right) = sgn(\sigma^{-1})\left(\Pi_{j=1}^m a_{j,\sigma^{-1}(j)}\right)$$

for any $\sigma \in S_m$, where S_m is the symmetric group, we deduce that

$$\det A = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} sgn(\sigma)\left(\Pi_{i=1}^m a_{i,\sigma(i)}\right) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m:\ \sigma = \sigma^{-1}} sgn(\sigma)\left(\Pi_{i=1}^m a_{i,\sigma(i)}\right).$$

Note that any $\sigma \in S_m$ such that $\sigma = \sigma^{-1}$ is a product of distinct transpositions and since m is odd, we deduce that there exists $h \in \{1, ..., m\}$ such that $\sigma(h) = h$ for every $\sigma \in S_m$ such that $\sigma = \sigma^{-1}$.

Since $a_{h,h} = 0$ for every $h = 1, ..., n+2$, we conclude that $\det A = 0$ and this proves (2). □

Finally, let us observe that Propositions 9, 14 and Theorem 1 give Corollary 2 of the Introduction.

References

[1] Y. Aubry, M. Perret, Covering of singular curves over finite fields, *Manuscripta Math.* **88** (1995), no. 1, 467–478.

[2] A. Barlotti, Sui $\{k;n\}$-archi di un piano lineare finito, *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital.*, Serie 3, Vol. **11** (1956), n.4, 553–556.

[3] P.L. Clark, *The Chevally-Warning Theorem (Featuring... the Erdös-Ginzburg-Ziv Theorem)*, http://math.uga.edu/∼ pete/4400ChevalleyWarning.pdf

[4] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.

[5] M. Homma, S.J. Kim, Around Sziklai's conjecture on the number of points of a plane curve over a finite field, *Finite Fields Appl.* **15** (2009), no. 4, 468–474.

[6] M. Homma, S.J. Kim, Sziklai's conjecture on the number of points of a plane curve over a finite field II, Finite fields: theory and applications, 225–234, *Contemp. Math.* **518**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.

[7] M. Homma, S.J. Kim, Sziklai's conjecture on the number of points of a plane curve over a finite field III, *Finite Fields Appl.* **16** (2010), no. 5, 315–319.

[8] M. Homma, S.J. Kim, An elementary bound for the number of points of a hypersurface over a finite field, *Finite Fields Appl.* **20** (2013), 76–83.

[9] M. Homma, S.J. Kim, The characterization of Hermitian surfaces by the number of points, eprint arXiv:1304.0302v1.

[10] M. Homma, S.J. Kim, Numbers of points of surfaces in the projective 3-space over finite fields, *Finite Fields Appl.* **35** (2015), 52–60.

[11] J.P. Serre, Lettre á M. Tsfasman, Journes Arithmtiques, 1989 (Luminy, 1989), *Astérisque* No. 198-200 (1991), 11, 351–353 (1992).

[12] P. Sziklai, A bound on the number of points of a plane curve, *Finite Fields Appl.* **14** (2008), 41–43.

Departamento de Matemática, Universidad de Concepción, Casilla 160-C, Concepción, Chile

E-mail address: atironi@udec.cl